

Original Article

Profile of the subjects with Diabetes: A hospital-based observational study from Ahmedabad, Western India

Mayur Patel¹, Ina M. Patel², Yash M. patel³, Prakash Shah⁴, Suresh Kumar Rathi⁵

1: MD, PhD. Chief Diabetologist and Chairman, Swasthya Hospital, All India Institute of Diabetes and Research, Narainpura, Ahmedabad - 380013, Gujarat, India. mayur@swasthyaindia.com

2: MD. Chief Pathologist and Vice Chair, Swasthya Hospital, All India Institute of Diabetes and Research, Narainpura, Ahmedabad - 380013, Gujarat, India. ina@swasthyaindia.com

3: MBBS. Research Fellow, Swasthya Hospital, All India Institute of Diabetes and Research, Narainpura, Ahmedabad - 380013, Gujarat, India. drymp@yahoo.com

4: MBBS, CIH, MSc Public Health. Tutor, Department of Community Medicine, S. B. K. S. Medical Institute and Research Centre, Piparia, Vadodara - 391760, Gujarat, India. Prakash.vaghela@gmail.com

5: MBBS, M.Sc Epidemiology. Assistant Professor, Department of Community Medicine, S. B. K. S. Medical Institute and Research Centre, Piparia, Vadodara - 391760, Gujarat, India. rathisj@yahoo.com

* **Corresponding Author:** Dr. Suresh Kumar Rathi, F-102, Aalekh Complex, 8, Amravati Society, Near Yash Complex, Gotri Road, Vadodara, Gujarat, India. PIN/ZIP code: 390021. Cell No. +91.9825449480. Email: rathisj@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT:

Objective: The aim of this study was to assess the profile of the diabetic subjects reporting to tertiary care hospitals at Ahmedabad, Western India.

Methods: From August 2006 to Jan. 31, 2009, a cross-sectional observational study was performed on diabetic subjects attending the Department of Diabetology, All India Institute of Diabetes and Research, and Yash Diabetes Specialties Centre (Swasthya), Ahmedabad. Case histories were recorded on a semi-structured, close-ended pro-forma basis and simultaneously anthropometric measurements and blood pressure were recorded and urine and blood tests were carried out. Data analysis was performed through SPSS (11.5).

Results: A total of 709 diabetic subjects were enrolled through a simple random sampling methodology. Of the total study population, 88 percent had Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Almost all were literate. More than 40 percent of the study subjects had hypertension, 70 percent had dyslipidemia, 62 percent were obese, and 42 percent had uncontrolled glycemic status (HbA1c >9 percent). Hence their overall risk profile, notable obesity, lipid profile, hypertension and glycemic status were very unfavourable.

Conclusion: Our findings suggest a relatively unfavourable risk profile of the diabetic subjects who also suffered from diabetic complications. We recommend modifying the risk profile through early screening, education, and lifestyle modification strategies to improve the quality of life for diabetics.

Keywords: Diabetes; Type 2 Diabetes; Obesity; Polyuria; Glycemic status; BMI

Received: 30 June 2010

Revised: 13 November 2010

Accepted: 13 December 2010

Published: 20 February 2011

© 2009-2011 Electronic Physician

1. INTRODUCTION

Diabetes Mellitus (DM) is a hereditary and lifestyle-induced lifelong disease. It is a chronic and progressive condition that occurs when the pancreas does not produce enough insulin or when the body cannot effectively use the insulin it produces. Most diabetics have no symptoms -- the most common symptom is no symptom. Since the beginning is insidious, there is an average delay of three to five years before a diagnosis is made. By the time the condition is diagnosed, an abnormal lipid profile, hypertension, and retinal changes, are often already present. DM affects 6 percent of the world's adult population. It is a silent disease that kills one person every 10 seconds. Worldwide, 4 million deaths are attributable to diabetes every year (1). A very high prevalence of DM was observed in Saudi Arabia, the U.S., Switzerland, and Austria. Researchers believe there is a 250 percent greater incidence of DM in the Middle East, Sub-Saharan Africa, India, Asia, and Latin America (2). With a projected doubling of number of global cases of diabetes by 2030, the development of effective strategies to prevent diabetes is of paramount importance (3).

India, a developing country with fast industrialization and rapid progress on all fronts, is making big strides toward global recognition. The flip side is that the economic prosperity and modern way of life are translating into an increased prevalence of lifestyle-related diseases. India already faces a grave problem with the largest number of people with diabetes (4-5). Literature showed that there is threefold rise of prevalence of diabetes in urban as well as rural areas (6-7). The World Health Organization (WHO) estimated that there are 33 million diabetics in India and that number will reach 80 million by 2030 (8-9). Hence, India will have the largest number of diabetics in the world (every fourth diabetic is an Indian) and will become the diabetic capital of the world. Although it has been recognized as a major cause of death and disability, many who suffer from diabetes are unaware that they are afflicted until they experience a debilitating side effect or complication of the disease.

It is established fact that good clinical and self-care activities can delay complications and improve the quality of life. For this, we must know the risk profile of the diabetic patient. Therefore, we initiated this study to assess the profile of diabetic subjects reporting to tertiary care hospitals in Ahmedabad, Western India.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was a cross-sectional evaluation of Type 1 and Type 2 diabetic subjects attending the Department of Diabetology, All India Institute of

Diabetes and Research, and Yash Diabetes Specialties Centre (Swasthya), Ahmedabad, from August 2006 to Jan. 31, 2009. Ahmedabad is the largest district of Gujarat State with an approximate population of 5 million. The city established itself as the home of a booming textile industry and enjoyed major construction boom and population increase. A rising centre of education, information technology, and industries, Ahmedabad remains the cultural and commercial heart of Gujarat and much of Western India.

The study subjects have been defined as newly diagnosed diabetics (diagnosed within the previous six months), attending the Department of Diabetology and Swasthya Hospital for first time during the study period and willing to participate in the study. We chose a sample size of 660 people. We chose this number so we could study at least 20 percent of all newly diagnosed cases, based on the data review of the hospital that showed that at least 100 subjects (newly diagnosed) are presented every month. Hence, during the study period, there would be 3,000 subjects. We also assumed a dropout rate of 10 percent during the study period. Simple random-sampling was used to collect the desired sample of around 660 subjects during the study period.

After satisfying the case definition and obtaining informed consent (written consent from literate subjects and a verbally informed consent from illiterate subjects), the patients were enrolled. The case history was recorded on a semi-structured, close-ended *pro-forma*. We explained in detail the study methodology to the enrolled subjects.

Data on age, sex, education, occupation, and lifestyle factors (, tobacco usage (chewed or smoking), alcohol consumption, diet and physical activity) were collected from all subjects. Detailed medical histories were obtained regarding present complaints. All subjects were also interviewed regarding past history of diabetes, hypertension and other co-morbid conditions, and type(s) of treatment to control the disease. A general physical examination was performed. Height, weight, and blood pressure were measured using standardized procedures. These subjects also underwent various clinical tests like urinalysis (for microalbuminuria - the best indicator for renal dysfunction that was measured by turbidometry using a kit from Biosystems-Barcelona, Spain) (10) and blood sampling (for complete hemogram, plasma glucose, glycosylated haemoglobin[HbA1c], renal function tests, and lipid profile). The blood samples were collected by venipuncture, after ensuring 12 hours of fasting. Total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG) and HDL-C levels were estimated using kits from End Point Assay with Liquid Clearing Factor-LCF,

Span Diagnostics Ltd. India). LDL-C was calculated using the Friedewald formula $LDL-C + TC - [HDL-C - (TG \text{ in mg/dl}/5)]$ (11-12).

For analysis purposes, current smokers and ex-smokers were categorized in the “ever smoker” group. Similarly, current tobacco chewer/snuffing and ex-tobacco chewer/snuffing were categorized in the “ever tobacco chewer” group. The ever smoker and ever tobacco chewer groups were considered tobacco users. Those who reported consuming alcohol at least once in the previous one-month period were considered current alcohol users. Physical activity was categorized as sedentary (sitting, standing and driving for most of the day, cooking, light cleaning, light yard work, slow walking, and other major activities involve sitting), moderate (an occupation that includes lifting, lots of walking, or other activities that keep you moving for several hours) and heavy (heavy manual labour, a very active lifestyle, dancer or very active sports played for several hours almost daily, an elite athlete in training, or an extremely active lifestyle – both at work and at play and sport – or activity lasting for several hours almost daily).

Blood pressure (BP) was recorded, after the subjects had rested for at least five minutes. Two readings were taken five minutes apart and the mean of two was recorded as the blood pressure. Hypertension was diagnosed based on drug treatment for hypertension or if the blood pressure was $>130/80\text{mmHg}$ according to Joint National Committee-7 (JNC-VII) criteria (13). Blood pressure was measured through auscultatory method in the supine and standing position as recommended by JNC-VII to rule out postural hypotension. Glucose levels were measured in plasma using the GOD-POD End Point Assay (Span Diagnostics Ltd. India).

A diagnosis of DM was confirmed using criteria established by the American Diabetes Association (14): a medical record indicating either a fasting plasma glucose (FPG) level $>7.0 \text{ mmol/l}$ or $\geq 126 \text{ mg/dl}$ after a minimum 12-hour fast, or two-hour post-glucose level (oral glucose tolerance test) $>11.1 \text{ mmol/l}$ or $\geq 200 \text{ mg/dl}$ on more than one occasion, with symptoms of diabetes. In the absence of medical records, confirmation was done on self-reported cases by establishing the criteria of regular treatment with anti-diabetics drugs or by performing a two-hour oral glucose tolerance test. Impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) was defined as FPG level of 100 mg/dl (5.6 mmol/l) but $<126 \text{ mg/dl}$ (7.0 mmol/l) or two-hour OGTT of $\geq 140 \text{ mg/dl}$ (7.8 mmol/l) but $<200 \text{ mg/dl}$ (11.1 mmol/l).

National Cholesterol Education Programme (NCEP) guidelines (15) were used for definition of dyslipidemia which are the presence of one or more

of the following abnormal serum lipid concentrations: a) HYPERCHOLESTEROLEMIA: Serum cholesterol levels $>200 \text{ mg/dl}$ ($>5.2 \text{ mmol/l}$) or drug treatment for hypercholesterolemia, and/or b) HIGH LDL CHOLESTEROL: LDLC $>100 \text{ mg/dl}$ ($>2.59 \text{ mmol/l}$) or drug treatment for high LDL cholesterol, and/or c) HYPERTRIGLYCERIDEMIA: Serum triglyceride levels $>150 \text{ mg/dl}$ ($>1.7 \text{ mmol/l}$) or drug treatment for hypertriglyceridemia and/or d) LOW HDL CHOLESTEROL: HDL cholesterol levels $<40 \text{ mg/dl}$ ($<1.04 \text{ mmol/l}$) for men and $< 50 \text{ mg/dl}$ (1.3 mmol/l) for women.

Body mass index (BMI) values were defined according to the recommendations of Indian Medical Council Research (ICMR) for Indians. A study subject was considered obese if the $BMI \geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ and overweight if $BMI = 23-24.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$ (16). The criterion for glycemic status (HbA1c) was good control (< 7 percent), sub-optimal control (7-8 percent), inadequate control (8-9 percent) and uncontrolled (>9 percent) (17).

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of all India Institute of Diabetes and Research. Data were cleaned, validated, and analyzed using SPSS version 11.5. Quantitative variables were summarized using mean and standard deviation while categorical variables were tabulated using frequencies and percentages. Differences between male and female diabetic subjects were evaluated using the z-test. The level of $P < 0.05$ was considered as the cut-off value for significance.

3. RESULTS

A sample of 709 diabetic subjects was enrolled (Table 1). There were 427 males and 282 females with ages ranging from 2-80 years. Diabetics in the study were evenly distributed in four quartiles by age with a mean 44.25 ± 14.58 years (Table 2). Of the total study sample, 88 percent of subjects had Type 2 DM and 9.3 percent of the subjects had Type 1 diabetes (Table 1). Basic socio-demographic data showed that 84.6 percent (600/709) were Hindu. Almost all study subjects were literate and 55 percent were employed (Table 2).

Table 1. Types of diabetes in Ahmedabad, western India

| Type of Diabetes | Number | Percentages |
|--------------------|--------|-------------|
| Type 2 | 622 | 87.7 |
| Type 1 | 66 | 9.3 |
| GDM | 10 | 1.4 |
| IGT | 8 | 1.1 |
| Secondary Diabetes | 3 | 0.4 |

Table 2. Socio-demographic characteristics of the Diabetic subjects in Ahmedabad, western India

| Characteristics | Number | Percentages |
|------------------------------|--------|-------------|
| Sex | | |
| Male | 427 | 60.20 |
| Female | 282 | 39.80 |
| Age (yrs) (mean ± sd) | | |
| Up to 37 | 185 | 26.1 |
| 37- 46 | 174 | 24.5 |
| 46 – 54 | 188 | 26.5 |
| >54 | 162 | 22.8 |
| Marital Status | | |
| Never Married | 73 | 10.30 |
| Ever Married | 636 | 89.70 |
| Religion | | |
| Hindu | 600 | 84.60 |
| Muslim | 53 | 7.50 |
| Christian | 21 | 3.50 |
| Others | 35 | 4.90 |
| Education | | |
| Nil | 03 | 0.40 |
| Primary School | 43 | 6.10 |
| Secondary School | 128 | 18.10 |
| College | 451 | 63.60 |
| Professional | 78 | 11.00 |
| Others | 06 | 0.80 |
| Occupation | | |
| Labourer | 32 | 4.51 |
| Professional | 46 | 6.48 |
| Businessman | 188 | 26.51 |
| Desk Jobs | 120 | 16.92 |
| Household work | 209 | 29.47 |
| Student | 59 | 8.32 |
| Others | 55 | 7.75 |

Our subjects had classic diabetic symptoms such as nocturia (309/709), polyuria (226/709), polydypsia (161/709), and weight loss (195/709) (Table 3). According to BMI groups, 62 percent

were obese. Only 8.6 percent had good glycemc status (HbA1c <7%).

Two-thirds of the study sample had dyslipidemia and positive family history for diabetes (Table 4). Hence, the overall risk profile was very poor. Regarding habits, 24.7 percent (175/709) of the subjects had some form of habits; 8 percent (56/709) of the subjects were smokers, 17.3 percent (123/709) were tobacco chewers, and 7.2 percent (51/709) consumed alcohol (Table 4).

There were statistically significant differences between male and female study subjects with respect to mean BMI, mean waist and hip circumferences, mean LDL, and VLDL levels at p<0.05 (Table 5).

Table 3. Presenting symptoms of diabetic subjects in Ahmedabad, western India

| Characteristics | Number | Percentages |
|--------------------------|--------|-------------|
| Weakness | 425 | 59.9 |
| Nocturia | 309 | 43.6 |
| Polyuria | 226 | 31.9 |
| Polydipsia | 161 | 22.7 |
| Weight Loss | 195 | 27.5 |
| Leg pain | 169 | 23.8 |
| Tingling | 138 | 19.5 |
| Burning | | |
| Micturation | 69 | 9.7 |
| Skin complaint | 61 | 9.6 |
| Vision impairment | 57 | 8.0 |
| Itching of | | |
| Private parts | 54 | 7.6 |
| Numbness | 41 | 5.8 |
| H/O impotence | 28 | 3.9 |
| Nausea/Vomiting | 24 | 3.4 |

Table 4. Profile of clinical and other associated factors of diabetic subjects in Ahmedabad, western India

| Characteristics | Number | Percentages |
|--|--------|-----------------|
| BMI Group | | |
| Under weight ($< 18.5 \text{ Kg/m}^2$) | 58 | 8.2 |
| Healthy Weight ($18.5\text{-}22.9 \text{ Kg/m}^2$) | 117 | 16.5 |
| Over Weight ($23 - 24.9 \text{ Kg/m}^2$) | 96 | 13.5 |
| Obese ($\geq 25.0 \text{ Kg/m}^2$) | 438 | 61.8 |
| Glycosylated Hemoglobin (HbA1c)(mean \pm sd) 9.05 ± 1.71 | | |
| Glycemic Status | | |
| $< 7\%$ | | |
| (good control) | 61 | 8.6 |
| $7 - 8\%$ | | |
| (sub-optimal control) | 165 | 23.3 |
| $8 - 9\%$ | | |
| (inadequate control) | 185 | 26.1 |
| $>9\%$ | | |
| (uncontrolled) | 298 | 42.0 |
| Family History | 469 | 66.1 |
| Lipid | | |
| Dyslipidemia | 502 | 70.8 |
| Hypertension | 320 | 45.1 |
| Mode of onset | | |
| Acute | 528 | 74.5 |
| Sub-acute | 149 | 21.0 |
| Insidious | 32 | 4.5 |
| Microalbuminuria | 66 | 9.3 |
| Physical activity | | |
| Sedentary | 578 | 81.5 |
| Moderate | 123 | 17.3 |
| Heavy | 8 | 1.1 |
| Addiction | 175 | 24.7 |
| Tobacco chewing | 123 | 17.3 |
| Years of tobacco Chewing (mean \pm sd) 12.35 ± 8.62 | | |
| Alcohol | 51 | 7.2 |
| Years of Alcohol Drinking (mean \pm sd) | | 9.68 ± 7.64 |
| Smoking | 56 | 7.9 |
| Years of tobacco Smoking (mean \pm sd) 11.46 ± 9.27 | | |
| Diet | | |
| Vegetarian | 600 | 84.6 |
| Non-Vegetarian | 109 | 15.4 |
| Treatment (excluding diet) | 231 | 32.6 |

4. DISCUSSIONS

Diabetes mellitus is a major public health problem and a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. Its prevalence is on the rise in many areas of the developing world, especially in India, in response to increasing prosperity and sedentary lifestyles. To the best of our knowledge, no similar study has been conducted in Ahmedabad, Western India.

Nonetheless, literature regarding the prevalence of diabetes is available from South and North India (18-21). This study presents observational data from large numbers of subjects with diabetes attending Department of Diabetology, All India Institute of Diabetes and Research, and Yash Diabetes Specialties Centre (Swasthya), Ahmedabad. Based on etiologic classification of diabetes mellitus (Type 1 and Type 2), our study found that Type 2 DM is a major burden in Western India. This complements findings by D. Simon . (22). Achieving optimal glycemic control in diabetic subjects has proven to be a real challenge to healthcare providers. In this study, only 9 percent of the subjects had achieved good glycemic status, which is different from various studies such as a Swedish survey found that 34 percent of Type 2 diabetics had good glycemic control (23). A study by F. Al-Maskari, et al. found that 38 percent of Type 2 DM subjects had good glycemic control (24) and study by J. Al-Kaabi, et al., reported 31 percent of subjects had good glycemic control (17). The reason for the discrepancy may be that this study includes both Type 1 and Type 2 DM patients. Also, the sample was drawn from tertiary care hospital and these subjects may have had diabetes for many years as was evident from complications like renal dysfunction and vision impairment.

The limitations of our study were: i) This is a cross-sectional evaluation of diabetic subjects and we are aware of its limitations, but it does give a clear snapshot of the current situation and may help improve outcomes for subjects and develop a hypothesis that can be tested through analytical studies; ii) Our study population is drawn from hospitals. Nonetheless the area is very wide but of course it is not a population-based study, so we are unable to generalize the results to all of Western India; and iii) We haven't collected data on the duration of diabetes, so we are unable to report the duration of the disease in our subjects.

Table 5. Study population characteristics, clinical and laboratory findings by sex in Ahmedabad, western India

| Characteristics | Mean \pm SD | | P-value |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|
| | Male | Female | |
| Height (cm) | 166.95 \pm 11.16 | 153.38 \pm 9.55 | 0.000 |
| Weight (kg) | 72.06 \pm 17.47 | 64.31 \pm 15.63 | 0.000 |
| BMI | 25.58 \pm 5.07 | 27.07 \pm 5.67 | 0.000 |
| Waist Circumference (cm) | 91.84 \pm 11.68 | 88.30 \pm 10.43 | 0.000 |
| HIP Circumference (cm) | 97.76 \pm 9.31 | 101.19 \pm 12.80 | 0.000 |
| Blood Pressure | | | |
| SBP (mmHg) | 127.42 \pm 16.90 | 128.22 \pm 17.74 | 0.558 |
| DBP (mmHg) | 84.27 \pm 9.51 | 82.87 \pm 9.33 | 0.061 |
| Hemoglobin | 12.64 \pm 1.41 | 11.74 \pm 1.34 | 0.000 |
| Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) | 180.82 \pm 64.19 | 176.85 \pm 61.20 | 0.440 |
| HbA1c (%) | 9.11 \pm 1.76 | 8.94 \pm 1.61 | 0.179 |
| Lipid Profile | | | |
| Cholesterol (mg/dl) | 194.22 \pm 39.25 | 200.71 \pm 45.93 | 0.055 |
| HDL (mg/dl) | 41.34 \pm 5.42 | 41.06 \pm 6.01 | 0.550 |
| LDL (mg/dl) | 118.88 \pm 31.68 | 127.32 \pm 37.78 | 0.002 |
| Triglycerides (mg/dl) | 181.88 \pm 125.87 | 169.12 \pm 137.83 | 0.225 |
| VLDL (mg/dl) | 35.80 \pm 18.76 | 31.88 \pm 12.57 | 0.004 |

5. CONCLUSION

In summary, the study was performed to learn the basic characteristics - including risk profile of diabetic subjects. This study showed that uncontrolled glycemic status diabetes-associated dyslipidemia and hypertension are prevalent and that approximately two-thirds of the study population are clinically obese. Hence, it is strongly suggested that an appropriate management for at-risk diabetic subjects be implemented, requiring a number of screenings at regular intervals. Early intervention for the prevention of complications can improve the outcome and quality of life for diabetics. The HbA1c test should be ordered at least annually to assess the glycemic status of diabetic subjects. Furthermore, public health officials must raise awareness through health education sessions about diabetes and its risk factors, and explain appropriate lifestyle modifications and interventions. We also recommend reviewing targets of control with each subject during every clinical encounter. This study provides the baseline profile of diabetic subjects and serves as an impetus for further qualitative and quantitative studies to explore socio-cultural and other risk factors affecting the outcomes of diabetes, especially Type 2 DM, which will yield new perspectives and knowledge regarding diabetes management.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to all the diabetic subjects who participated in the study and Dr. B. D. Mankad for

expert opinion. We would also like to thank Dr. W. Q. Shaikh for manuscript review. We would be remiss if we did not also thank Mr. Diwakar Sharma for statistical assistance. We also thank the anonymous referees of the journal for their thoughtful comments that have improved the presentation of the manuscript. The study is supported by All India Institute of Diabetes and Research, Ahmedabad, India.

REFERENCES

1. Delavari A, Alikhani S, Nili S, Birjandi RH, Birjandi F. Quality of care of diabetes Mellitus type-II patients in Iran. *Arch Iranian Med* 2009;12(5):492-95.
2. Ginter E, Simko V. Diabetes type 2 pandemic in 21st century. *Bratisl Lek Listy* 2010; 111(3):134-37.
3. Mudaliar S. New frontiers in the management of type 2 diabetes. *Indian J Med Res.*2007;125:275-96.
4. King H, Aubert RE, Herman WH. Global burden of diabetes 1995-2025; Prevalence, numerical estimates, and projection. *Diabetes Care* 1998;21:1414-31.
5. Fall CH. Non-industrialized countries and affluence. *British Medical Bulletin* 2001;60:33-50.
6. Ebrahim S, Kinra S, Bowen L, Andersen E, Ben-Shlomo Y, et al. (2010) The Effect of Rural-to-Urban Migration on Obesity and Diabetes in India: A Cross-Sectional Study.

- PLoS Med* 7(4):e1000268. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000268.
7. Mohan V, Deepa M, Deepa R, Shanthirani CS, Farooq S, et al. Secular trends in the prevalence of diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance in urban south India – the Chennai Urban Rural Epidemiology Study (CURES-17). *Diabetologia* 2006;49: 1175–78.
 8. Bjork S, Kapur A, King H, et al. Global Policy aspects of diabetes in India. *Health Policy* 2003;66:61-72.
 9. Rao CR, Kamath VG, Shetty A, Kamath A. A study on the prevalence of type 2 diabetes in coastal Karnataka. *Int J Diabetes Dev Ctries.* 2010; 30(2):80–85.
 10. Bakris GL. Microalbuminuria: what is it? Why is it important? What should be done about it? *J Clin Hypertens* 2001;3:99-104.
 11. Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without the use of preparative centrifuge. *Clin Chem* 1972;18:499-502.
 12. Chen Y, Zhang X, Pan B, Jin X, Yao H, Chen B, et al., A modified formula for calculating low-density lipoprotein cholesterol values. *Lipids in Health and Disease* 2010, 9:52. doi: 10.1186/1476-511X-9-52.
 13. Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, IZZO JL et al. The seventh report of the Joint National Committee on prevention, Detection, Evaluation and Treatment of High Blood Pressure (JNC-7). *JAMA* 2003;289:2560-71.
 14. American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 2006;29(suppl-1):S43-48. Available at: <http://www.diabetes.org/diabetes-prevention/pre-diabetes.jsp>.
 15. Executive summary of the Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). *JAMA* 2001;285:2486-97.
 16. Misra A, Chowbey P, Makkar BM, Vikram NK, Wasir JS, Chadha D, Joshi SR, Sadikot S, Gupta R, Gulati S, Munjal VP. Consensus Statement for Diagnosis of Obesity, Abdominal Obesity and the Metabolic Syndrome for Asian Indians and Recommendations for Physical Activity, Medical and Surgical Management. *J Assoc Physicians India* 2009;57:163-70.
 17. Al-Kaabi J, Al-Maskari F, Saadi H, Afandi B, Parkar H, Nagelkerke N. Assessment of dietary practices among diabetic patients in the United Arab Emirates. *The Review of Diabetic studies* 2008;5(2):110-15.
 18. Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Kapur A, et al. Diabetes Epidemiology Study Group in India (DESI): High prevalence of diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance in India: National Urban Diabetes Survey. *Diabetologia.* 2001;44:1094–101.
 19. Kutty R, Soman CR, Joseph A, pisharody R, Vijaykumar K. Typer 2 Diabetes in southern Kerala: Variation in prevalence among geographic division within a region. *Nat Med J India.* 2000;13:287–92.
 20. Ramachandran A, Snehalata C, Latha E, Vijav V, Vishwanathan M. Rising prevalence of NIDDM in urban population in India. *Diabetologia.* 1997;40:232–7.
 21. Mishra A, Pandey RM, Ramadevi J. High prevalence of diabetes, obesity and dyslipidemia in urban slum population in northern India. *Inter J Obesity.* 2001;25:1–8.
 22. Simon D. Epidemiological features of type 2 diabetes. *Rev Prat.* 2010;60(4):469-73.
 23. Holmström IM, Rosenqvist U. Misunderstandings about illness and treatment among patients with type 2 diabetes. *J Adv Nurs* 2005. 49(2):146-154.
 24. Al-Maskari F, El-Sadig M. Prevalence of risk factors for diabetic foot complications. *BMC Fam Pract* 2007. 8:59.